

Message

---

**From:** Sinks, Tom [Sinks.Tom@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 12/5/2017 7:38:50 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: TRI and PFAS

Details ☺! Personally I'd like to see it in 12 months – but given that we are steering an aircraft carrier - 18 months may be rocket time. For now, we want to identify ideas for consideration - we will ask OCSPP to identify what we ought to include. My two cents are ... that is a no-brainer - we have the authority, it is a growing concern, it would demonstrate commitment. We don't really have a great handle on monitoring and I think we need to move beyond research.

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 05, 2017 2:27 PM  
**To:** Sinks, Tom <Sinks.Tom@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: TRI and PFAS

So 18 months is near term not long term?

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273  
Personal Address / Ex. 6  
[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)

On Dec 5, 2017, at 2:11 PM, Sinks, Tom <[Sinks.Tom@epa.gov](mailto:Sinks.Tom@epa.gov)> wrote:

This is excellent – thanks. As we start to fill in the Action Agenda (probably launch the effort on Monday) we hope OCSPP will include this as a near term objective to be included.

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 05, 2017 2:04 PM  
**To:** Reisman, Larry <[Reisman.Larry@epa.gov](mailto:Reisman.Larry@epa.gov)>; Sinks, Tom <[Sinks.Tom@epa.gov](mailto:Sinks.Tom@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Bertrand, Charlotte <[Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov](mailto:Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov)>; Morris, Jeff <[Morris.Jeff@epa.gov](mailto:Morris.Jeff@epa.gov)>; Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>; Turk, David <[Turk.David@epa.gov](mailto:Turk.David@epa.gov)>; Bushman, Daniel <[Bushman.Daniel@epa.gov](mailto:Bushman.Daniel@epa.gov)>; Hartman, Mark <[Hartman.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Hartman.Mark@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: TRI and PFAS

Many thanks TRI team!

This is super helpful. If we need more details or flushing out, we will get back to you.

Nancy

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273  
Personal Address / Ex. 6  
[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Reisman, Larry  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 5, 2017 1:51 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>; Sinks, Tom <[Sinks.Tom@epa.gov](mailto:Sinks.Tom@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Bertrand, Charlotte <[Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov](mailto:Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov)>; Morris, Jeff <[Morris.Jeff@epa.gov](mailto:Morris.Jeff@epa.gov)>; Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>; Turk, David <[Turk.David@epa.gov](mailto:Turk.David@epa.gov)>; Bushman, Daniel <[Bushman.Daniel@epa.gov](mailto:Bushman.Daniel@epa.gov)>; Hartman, Mark <[Hartman.Mark@epa.gov](mailto:Hartman.Mark@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: TRI and PFAS

Hi Nancy,

After conferring with Dave Turk and Dan Bushman, we think 18 months, at a minimum, if there are existing peer reviewed hazard assessments and we have the support of the Administration including OMB and no major resistance from external stakeholders; otherwise, 2 years or longer if we have to develop hazard assessments, go through peer review, and two rounds of OMB review (at the proposed and final rule stages).

And, of course, all of this depends on how broad the listing would be: are we talking a "category" listing or individual listings of chemicals for tracking purposes?

Thanks!

Larry

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 05, 2017 12:52 PM  
**To:** Sinks, Tom <[Sinks.Tom@epa.gov](mailto:Sinks.Tom@epa.gov)>; Reisman, Larry <[Reisman.Larry@epa.gov](mailto:Reisman.Larry@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Bertrand, Charlotte <[Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov](mailto:Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov)>; Morris, Jeff <[Morris.Jeff@epa.gov](mailto:Morris.Jeff@epa.gov)>; Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: TRI and PFAS

I would ask our TRI expert to chime in here regarding how hard it is and how long it takes to add something to TRI.

Larry can you provide us with some insights?

Thanks,  
Nancy

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Address / Ex. 6  
[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Sinks, Tom  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 5, 2017 12:46 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: TRI and PFAS

OK – this tells us we don't have extant data to examine in TRI. Does it also encourage us to explore adding PFAS to TRI data for the Action Agenda?

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 05, 2017 12:30 PM  
**To:** Sinks, Tom <[Sinks.Tom@epa.gov](mailto:Sinks.Tom@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: TRI and PFAS

It was a good question.

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273  
**Personal Address / Ex. 6**  
[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Hanley, Mary" <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>  
**Date:** December 5, 2017 at 12:28:17 PM EST  
**To:** "Beck, Nancy" <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject: RE: TRI and PFAS**

From OPPT:

TRI looked to see whether any perfluoroalkyl alkyl or polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are included on the TRI chemical list. Our folks report that no such substances are currently on the TRI chemical list, nor were any such substances ever on the TRI chemical list. (Note that we are not including the HCFC or CFC refrigerants that are on the list, as such substance are not considered to be PFAS.)

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 05, 2017 7:59 AM  
**To:** Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: TRI and PFAS

Any information on PFAS releases?  
Thanks.